

## REMARKS

The Examiner rejected claims 1-36 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being anticipated by Kuno in view of Ghodoussi. The Applicant has amended the claims to recite a mobile robot with a monitor and a camera that move together in at least one degree of freedom. Neither Kuno or Ghodoussi disclose this limitation.


Although Kuno discloses a robot with a monitor and a camera, the monitor does not move with the camera in at least one degree of freedom. Providing a mobile robot with a monitor and a camera that move together creates a tele-presence robot that more accurately simulates the head of a user. Kuno does not disclose or suggest to couple the monitor with the camera so that they move together in at least one degree of freedom. Taken together Kuno and Ghodoussi do not include all of the limitations of the claims. Consequently, these references do not create a *prima facie* case of obviousness.

In view of the above it is submitted that the claims are in condition for allowance. Reconsideration of the rejection is requested. Allowance of claims 1 - 36 at an early date is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

IRELL & MANELLA LLP


Dated: October 5, 2006

  
Ben Yorks, Reg. No. 33,609

840 Newport Center Drive  
Suite 400  
Newport Beach, CA 92660  
Telephone: (949) 760-0991

### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the U.S. Mail, First Class, addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450, on October 5, 2006.

  
Susan Langworthy Date Oct 5, 2006